



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States ratent and Trademark Office	
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/774,870	01/31/2001	Geoffrey D. Ralston	- 17887-008100US	.3151	
20350 7	7590 04/23/2004	EXAMI	NER		
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			BARQADLE, YASIN M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94111-3834		2153		
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004	0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	//
Office Action Summary		09/774,870	RALSTON ET AL.	U
		Examiner	Art Unit	
•	•	Yasin M Barqadle	2153	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on th cover sheet	with the correspond nce address -	
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reper population of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutor reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may  ly within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communica  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 J	anuary 2001.		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merit	s is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	1.		
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) Objected t	o by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·		` '
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
-,	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have bee	en received in this National Stage	
	application from the International Burea			
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies n	ot received.	
Attachmen	ot(s) Ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_ Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4&amp;5</u> .	) 5)	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2153

### DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international applicat ion by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-14, 16-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pace et al U.S. Patent No. (6460050).

Art Unit: 2153

As per claim 1, Pace et al teach a method for detecting electronic text communication distributed in bulk, the method comprising steps of

receiving a first electronic text communication [email message are received col. 3, lines 33-41]; processing the first electronic text communication with an algorithm to

produce a first fingerprint [hashing algorithm is used to produce digital identifiers col. 3, lines 41-44 and lines 65-67]; beginning a time period for the first electronic text communication [message Ids' arrival are time and recorded col. 6, lines 2-17];

receiving a second electronic text communications [col.3, lines 65 to col. 4, line 29 and col. 5, lines 14-17];

processing the second electronic text communications with the algorithm to produce a second fingerprint [col. 3, lines 65 to col. 4, line 29 and col. 5, lines 14-17];

comparing the first fingerprint to the second fingerprint to determine if the first electronic text communication is similar to the second electronic text communication [col. 2, lines 43-56 and col. 6, lines 2-17];

updating a count for the first electronic text communication based upon the comparing step [col. 6, lines 63 to col. 7, line 17]; and

Art Unit: 2153

j.

determining if the count during the time period reaches a first threshold [col. 6, lines 2-17 and col. 6, lines 63 to col. 7, line 17].

As per claim 2, Pace et al teach the method for detecting electronic text communication distributed in bulk as recited in claim 1, further comprising a step of filtering subsequent electronic text communications similar to the first electronic text communication [col. 6, lines 2-17 and col. 6, lines 63 to col. 7, line 17].

As per claim 3, Pace et al teach the method for detecting electronic text communication distributed in bulk as recited in claim 1, wherein the first listed processing step comprises a step of calculating a histogram where counts are determined for words in the first electronic text communication [col. 6, lines 2-65].

As per claim 4, Pace et al teach the method for detecting electronic text communication distributed in bulk as recited in claim 1, further comprising steps of:

determining if a character count of the first electronic text communication exceeds a second threshold [col. 6, lines 2 to col. 7, line 4]; and

choosing a fingerprint algorithm based upon the step of determining if the character count of the first electronic text

Art Unit: 2153

communication exceeds the second threshold [col. 6, lines 2 to col. 7, line 4].

As per claim 5, Pace et al teach the method for detecting electronic text communication distributed in bulk as recited in claim 1, wherein a match is determined from the comparing step even if the first fingerprint and the second fingerprint differ by a percentage [col. 2, lines 30-56 and col. 6, lines 2-17].

As per claim 6, Pace et al teach the method for detecting electronic text communication distributed in bulk as recited in claim 1, further comprising steps of determining network addresses for the first and second electronic text communications [col. 3, lines 7-20 and col. 6, lines 2 to col. 7, line 4]; and modifying the first threshold based upon the step of determining network addresses [6, lines 63 to col. 7, line 32].

As per claim 7, this is method claim with similar limitations as claim 1 above. Pace et al teach processing plurality of electronic text messages to produce a plurality of fingerprints (digital IDS) col. 3, lines 65 to col. 4, line 30 and col. 5, lines 14-29].

As per claim 8, Pace et al teach the method for detecting electronic text communication distributed in bulk as recited in claim 7, further comprising a step of filtering subsequent

Art Unit: 2153

electronic text communications similar to the electronic text communication [col. 6, lines 2-17 and col. 6, lines 63 to col. 7, line 17].

As per claim 9, Pace et al teach the method for detecting electronic text communication distributed in bulk as recited in claim 7, wherein the first listed processing step comprises a step of calculating a histogram where counts are determined for words in the electronic text communication [col. 6, lines 2-17 and col. 6, lines 63 to col. 7, line 17].

As per claim 10, Pace et al teach the method for detecting electronic text communication distributed in bulk as recited in claim 7, further comprising steps of:

determining if a character count of the electronic text communication exceeds a second threshold [col. 6, lines 2 to col. 7, line 4]; and

choosing a fingerprint algorithm based upon the step of determining if the character count of the electronic text communication exceeds the second threshold [col. 6, lines 2 to col. 7, line 4].

As per claim 11, Pace et al teach the method for detecting electronic text communication distributed 2 in bulk as recited in claim 7, wherein the electronic text communication is chosen from a group consisting of a chat room comment, an instant message, a

Art Unit: 2153

newsgroup posting, an electronic forum posting, a message board posting, and a classified advertisement [col. 1, lines 15-20 and col. 3, lines 22-27].

As per claim 12, Pace et al teach the method for detecting electronic text communication distributed 2 in bulk as recited in claim 7, further comprising steps of: 3 determining network addresses for the electronic text communication and 4 each of the subset [col. 3, lines 7-20 and col. 6, lines 2 to col. 7, line 4]; and

modifying the first threshold based upon the step of determining network addresses [col. 6, lines 63 to col. 7, line 32].

As per claim 13 Pace et al teach the method for blocking electronic text communication distributed in bulk (fig. 2), the method comprising steps of:

receiving an electronic text communication [email message are received col. 3, lines 33-41];

generating a fingerprint indicative of the electronic text communication [col.4, lines 3-14 and 53-64];

beginning a time period in relation to the first listed receiving step [message Ids' arrival are time and recorded col. 6, lines 2-17];

receiving a plurality of electronic text communications [col. 6, lines 63 to col. 7, line 17];

Art Unit: 2153

generating a plurality of fingerprints corresponding to the plurality of electronic text communications [col. 3, lines 65 to col. 4, line 30 and col. 5, lines 14-29];

determining a subset of the plurality of electronic text communications that are similar to the electronic text communication [col. 2, lines 43-56 and col. 6, lines 2-17];

counting a size of the subset [col. 6, lines 63 to col. 7, line 17];

determining if the size during the time period reaches a first threshold [col. 5, lines 41 to col. 6, lines 17]; and filtering subsequent electronic text communications similar to the electronic text communication [col. 5, lines 41 to col. 6, lines 17].

As per claim 14, Pace et al teach the method for blocking electronic text communication distributed in bulk as recited in claim 13, wherein the first listed generating step comprises a step of calculating a histogram where counts are determined for words in the electronic text communication [col. 6, lines 2-65].

As per claim 16, Pace et al teach the method for blocking electronic text communication distributed in bulk as recited in claim 13, further comprising a step of determining if a character count of the electronic text communication exceeds a second threshold [col. 6, lines 2 to col. 7, line 4].

Art Unit: 2153

As per claim 17, Pace et al teach the method, for blocking electronic text communication distributed in bulk as recited in claim 16, further comprising a step of choosing a fingerprint algorithm based upon the step of determining if the character count of the electronic text communication exceeds the second threshold [col. 6, lines 2 to col. 7, line 4].

As per claim 18, Pace et al teach the method for blocking electronic text communication distributed in bulk as recited in claim 13, wherein the electronic text communication is chosen from a group consisting of a chat room comment, an instant message, a newsgroup posting, an electronic forum posting, a message board posting, and a classified advertisement [col. 1, lines 15-20 and col. 3, lines 22-27].

As per claim 20, Pace et al teach the method for blocking electronic text communication distributed in bulk as recited in claim 13, further comprising steps of:

determining network addresses for the electronic text communication and each of the subset [col. 3, lines 7-20 and col. 6, lines 2 to col. 7, line 4]; and

modifying the first threshold based upon the step of determining network addresses [6, lines 63 to col. 7, line 32].

Art Unit: 2153

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pace et al USPN (6460050) in view of Cotton USPN (6330590).

As per claim 15, although Pace et al shows substantial features of the claimed invention, he does not explicitly show removing non-textual information from the electronic text communication. Nonetheless, this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Pace et al, as evidenced by Cotten USPN. (6330590).

In analogous art, Cotten whose invention is about preventing delivery of unwanted bulk e-mail, disclose a system that removes (eliminates) non-textual information such as personalization and addressing portion from an electronic text communication [Col. 2, lines 16-27]. Giving the teaching of Cotten, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the

Art Unit: 2153

desirability and the advantage of modifying Pace et al by employing the system of Cotten in order to establish a signature identification code from the remaining portion [Col. 2, lines 6-65].

As per claim 19, Cotton teaches the invention, further comprising a step of removing everything from the electronic text communication except a message body [Col. 2, lines 18-27].

#### Conclusion

The prior made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yasin Barqadle whose telephone number is 703-305-5971. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Burgess can be reached on 703-305-4792. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2153

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Yasin Barqadle Art Unit 2153

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100